

1 2 3 4 5	DOUGLAS J. CLARK, State Bar No. 171499 CYNTHIA A. DY, State Bar No. 172761 CAMERON P. HOFFMAN, State Bar No. 229316 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100	
6 7 8 9 10 11	JENNY L. DIXON, State Bar No. 192638 CLAUDIA MAIN, State Bar No. 212200 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation One Market Street, Spear Tower, Suite 3300 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 947-2000 Facsimile: (415) 947-2099 Attorneys for Defendants OMNIVISION TECHNOLOGIES, INC., SHAW HONG, RAYMOND WU, H. GENE McCOWN and JOHN T. ROSSI	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	In re OMNIVISION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.) MASTER FILE NO.: C-04-2297 SC
16 17 18	This Document Relates To:) STIPULATION TO EXTEND) DEFENDANTS' TIME TO RESPOND) TO SECOND CONSOLIDATED -) AMENDED COMPLAINT;) FROPOSEDTORDER
17	This Document Relates To: CASE NOS. 04-2297-SC; 04-2298-SC; 04-2385-SC; 04-2410-SC; 04-2419-SC; 04-2425-SC; 04-2433-SC; 04-2474-SC; 04-2514-SC; 04-2525-SC; and 04-2570-SC	DEFENDANTS' TIME TO RESPOND TO SECOND CONSOLIDATED
17 18 19 20 21	CASE NOS. 04-2297-SC; 04-2298-SC; 04-2385-SC; 04-2410-SC; 04-2419-SC; 04-2425-SC; 04-2433-SC; 04-2474-SC; 04-2514-SC; 04-2525-	DEFENDANTS' TIME TO RESPOND TO SECOND CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT;
17 18 19 20 21 22	CASE NOS. 04-2297-SC; 04-2298-SC; 04-2385-SC; 04-2410-SC; 04-2419-SC; 04-2425-SC; 04-2433-SC; 04-2474-SC; 04-2514-SC; 04-2525-	DEFENDANTS' TIME TO RESPOND TO SECOND CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT;
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CASE NOS. 04-2297-SC; 04-2298-SC; 04-2385-SC; 04-2410-SC; 04-2419-SC; 04-2425-SC; 04-2433-SC; 04-2474-SC; 04-2514-SC; 04-2525-	DEFENDANTS' TIME TO RESPOND TO SECOND CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT;
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CASE NOS. 04-2297-SC; 04-2298-SC; 04-2385-SC; 04-2410-SC; 04-2419-SC; 04-2425-SC; 04-2433-SC; 04-2474-SC; 04-2514-SC; 04-2525-	DEFENDANTS' TIME TO RESPOND TO SECOND CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT;
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CASE NOS. 04-2297-SC; 04-2298-SC; 04-2385-SC; 04-2410-SC; 04-2419-SC; 04-2425-SC; 04-2433-SC; 04-2474-SC; 04-2514-SC; 04-2525-	DEFENDANTS' TIME TO RESPOND TO SECOND CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT;
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	CASE NOS. 04-2297-SC; 04-2298-SC; 04-2385-SC; 04-2410-SC; 04-2419-SC; 04-2425-SC; 04-2433-SC; 04-2474-SC; 04-2514-SC; 04-2525-	DEFENDANTS' TIME TO RESPOND TO SECOND CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT;

Case 3:04-cv-02297-SC Document 144 Filed 08/04/05 Page 2 of 3

WHEREAS, on July 29, 2005, the Court issued its Order denying the Motion to Dismiss	
the Second Amended Consolidated Complaint (the "SAC") filed by Defendants OmniVision	
Technologies, Inc., Shaw Hong, Raymond Wu, H. Gene McCown and John T. Rossi	
(collectively, "Defendants");	
WHEREAS, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(a)(4)(A), Defendants'	
response to the SAC is due on or about August 12, 2005;	
WHEREAS, Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs has agreed to extend the time within which	
Defendants' response to the SAC shall be due;	
THEREFORE, the parties to this action, by and through their attorneys, hereby	
STIPULATE AND AGREE, subject to approval of the Court, that Defendants shall have until	
and including September 2, 2005 to respond to the SAC.	
Dated: August 1, 2005	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation
	Trotessional Corporation
	By: /s/ Jenny L. Dixon
	JENNY L. DIXON
	Attorneys for Defendants OMNIVISION TECHNOLOGIES, INC., SHAW HONG,
	RAYMOND WU, H. GENE McCOWN and JOHN T. ROSSI
	JOHN I. ROBBI
Dated: August 1, 2005	MILBERG WEISS BERSHAD & SCHULMAN LLP
	SCHOLIMAN ELI
	By: /s/ Elizabeth P. Lin ELIZABETH P. LIN
	355 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 4170
	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 617-1200
	Facsimile: (213) 617-1975
	Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs
	the Second Amended Consolidated Complaint (the Technologies, Inc., Shaw Hong, Raymond Wu, F. (collectively, "Defendants"); WHEREAS, pursuant to Federal Rule of the SAC is due on or about August 12. WHEREAS, Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs In Defendants' response to the SAC shall be due; THEREFORE, the parties to this action, but STIPULATE AND AGREE, subject to approval and including September 2, 2005 to respond to the Dated: August 1, 2005

Case 3:04-cv-02297-SC Document 144 Filed 08/04/05 Page 3 of 3

I, Jenny L. Dixon, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to file this STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEFENDANTS' TIME TO RESPOND TO SECOND CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT; [PROPOSED] ORDER. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B, I hereby attest that Elizabeth P. Lin has concurred in this filing. By: <u>/s/ Jenny L. Dixon</u> JENNY L. DIXON IT IS SO ORDERED: Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, the Court hereby orders: Defendants OmniVision Technologies, Inc., Shaw Hong, Raymond Wu, H. Gene McCown and John T. Rossi shall have until and including September 2, 2005 to respond to the Second Consolidated Amended Complaint. 8/3/05 Dated: _ Judge Samuel Conti